

Criteria	3(Excellent)	2(Above Average)	1 (Average)	0 (Unsatisfactory)	Score
<p>Proposal clearly addresses the committee’s vision statement and includes one or more Project Categories.            Vision Statement: <i>Supporting treatment, prevention, harm reduction research and training in Aitkin County through collaboration and financial oversight, creating safer and healthier communities.</i></p>	<p>Clearly address’s how funding will include one or more areas of mitigation. Alignment is clear, relevant and impactful.            Project closely adheres to the needs of the community.</p>	<p>One or more areas of mitigation selected with some alignment to the project.</p>	<p>Mitigation category selected does align with the project.</p>	<p>No alignment with four areas.</p>	
<p>Guiding Principles            Spend Money to Save Lives            Use Evidence to Guide Spending            Invest in Youth Prevention            Focus on Equality            Develop a Fair and Transparent Process to Guide Funds (does it fit)</p>	<p>Aligns with more than one guiding principle. Detail is specific and alignment is clear, relevant and impactful.</p>	<p>General alignment with one or more guiding principles. Has some detail, is relevant and impactful.</p>	<p>General alignment with one guiding principles but may conflict with another principle i.e. not evidence based.</p>	<p>No alignment with any of the five areas.</p>	
<p>Work Plan and Goals            Goals should be related to the project, planned activities should be clear to meet these goals.            Timelines and responsible Individuals should be clear.</p>	<p>Clearly describes goals timelines, individual’s responsible and effective implementation of work plan.</p>	<p>Generally defines goals, timelines and individuals responsible.            Implementation of work plan is not clear</p>	<p>Statement of need but not enough supporting detail on timelines and implementation of work plan</p>	<p>Provides information on proposal but no goals or work plan.</p>	
<p>Evaluation (at or above \$25,000)            Outcomes are measured and tied to success. Project is impactful to the community.            Results/data will be used in an impactful manner.</p>	<p>Clearly describes a measurable evaluation plan including project outcomes, how changes are measured and what will be done with the results.</p>	<p>Evaluation includes some measurable plan, impacts and explanation of how results will be used.</p>	<p>Evaluation includes few details on outcomes, changes and results.            Lacking in detail in all areas.</p>	<p>Evaluation plan has no outcomes or measurable changes.</p>	
<p>Project Sustainability            Project may or may not be ongoing. If project success is dependent upon future resources sustainability should be scored.</p>	<p>Project sustainability is clearly defined, realistic and detailed.</p>	<p>Sustainability is defined but details are not clearly outlined i.e. future funding needed but it is not clear where that will come from.</p>	<p>Sustainability is not possible as there are too many variables at this time.</p>	<p>Sustainability is needed but there is no plan or detail.</p>	

**AITKIN COUNTY OPIOID SETTLEMENT FUNDS SCORECARD**

<b>Budget and Funding</b> Broken down into three categories: OS Dollars from Aitkin County, Other grants, Donations, budgeted dollars, etc...and in kind donations such as staff/volunteer time.	Budget is clearly outlined and includes other grants, donations or other budgeted dollars. In-kind contributions are included.	Budget is clear and includes other funding OR in-kind contributions but not both.	Budget includes only OS Settlement Dollars. It may or may not be appropriate to not have other funding, or in-kind contributions.	Budget only includes OS dollars. Detail on why other sources or in-kind contributions is not clear.	
<b>Proposed Total Score</b>					

Funding Applicant \_\_\_\_\_ Project \_\_\_\_\_

Does the Applicant have a conflict of interest or relationship with the organization submitting the proposal? Yes No

If yes, please be prepared to share this information with the subcommittee.

Ranking:

- Excellent 14-18
- Above Average 10-13
- Average 6-9
- Unsatisfactory 0-5

Recommendation(use comment section to clarify your response)

- Subcommittee recommends funding for this project by a consensus of \_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_
- Subcommittee would not recommend funding this project by a consensus of \_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_
- More information is requested before recommending funding.

**Application Represents:**

- Community
- Youth
- Faith Based
- Law Enforcement
- Healthcare
- Veterans
- Education
- Underserved
- LGBTQ
- Other \_\_\_\_\_

Additional Comments:

AITKIN COUNTY OPIOID SETTLEMENT FUNDS SCORECARD